Intensifying the 'lazy man's crop': Lessons from the history of rice research & development

P4.25 Harro Maat and Dominic Glover Knowledge, Technology and Innovation Group, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands

An output from the NWO-WOTRO project "The System of Rice Intensification as a socio-economic and technical movement in India" (2010-2014), which involves partners from the Knowledge, Technology and Innovation (KTI) Group and the Development Economics (DEC) Group at Wageningen University, NL, and the Xavier Institute of Management, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India.

Optimise G

of E

Rice: Sometimes known as the 'lazy man's crop'

Rice (Oryza sativa / O. glaberrima) is a robust crop with numerous cultivars, reflecting huge variation in growing conditions. This makes rice a flexible crop within farming systems and livelihood strategies.

Some farmers opt to replace intensive rice monoculture with combinations of extensive rice (e.g. for subsistence) + cash crops (e.g. horticulture) + off-farm activities (e.g. petty trading, employment).

Implications for rice research and development

Intensive rice cultivation technologies are sometimes stuck 'on the shelf'. Farmers often get blamed for not embracing new methods.

Rice improvement programmes typically target productivity increases, emphasising genotype×environment (G×E) interactions and external inputs.

This neglects farmers' priorities and constraints. Solutions are needed for rice cultivation under flexible, low-input management.

Alternative cultivation strategies are now being proposed, e.g. the System of Rice Intensification (SRI), aerobic rice, 'growing rice like wheat'. What do they offer? Are they really new?

G×**E** interactions are managed by farmers

- SRI is reviving crop cultivation techniques that were widely studied and practised in colonial times. In those days, farmers and researchers sought to produce a good rice crop with local resources in a particular place.
- In colonial Indonesia, farmers produced cash crops like rubber and tobacco rather than intensifying upland rice, despite the existence of technical options to do so.

These examples illustrate the importance of farmers' skilful management (M) of:

Iocal agroecological conditions (micro M).

wider social and economic opportunities



Lessons from history

The Green Revolution (GR) was the product of particular historical circumstances. In the post-GR era, we observe a revival of interest in field cultivation methods and basic agronomy (fig. 1). This focus resembles the agronomic R&D of the pre-GR period.

GR



Pre- and post-GR similarities:

- Water scarcity
- Market-oriented diversification
- Off-farm income opportunities in trade-oriented economy
- Interest in multiand mixed cropping
- Multiple technical options for $G \times E$ improvement

Factors carried over from GR period:

(macro M)



Skilful Management

- Farmers' perspective
- Exploit G & E within integrated livelihood strategy
- Deploying skills, knowledge & experience
- Situated in time: dynamic, uncertain, risky ('farming as performance')
- Embedded in social networks & cultural frames

Figure 2. The G×E×M perspective. Skilful management is often neglected as a vital element in agricultural improvement.

Conclusions

- The 'lazy man's crop' label is a clue pointing to rice's flexibility for finding smart solutions to suit diverse agro-ecological conditions, livelihood strategies and socio-economic contexts.
- Our analysis explains why existing methods are still practised / revived alongside new technologies.
- We recommend viewing rice within a livelihood system rather than rice as a production system.

Environment-sensitive New geopolitics: rising powers, private sector, philanthropy New technologies (e.g. transgenics) Market-oriented production Sustainability, climate change Water & soil conserving, energy saving

Figure 1. Before, during and after the Green Revolution Changing modes of agricultural science and practice.

- Advanced technical options available
- Strong knowledge base for G factor Limited funding &

research capacity for alternative technologies

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the financial support of the Dutch Organisation for Scientific Research under the Science for Global Development Programme (NWO–WOTRO), grant number W 01.65.328.00 (2010 - 2014).

Please visit the other posters by researchers from this project:

- P1.43 Adusumilli et al. "New paradigms in sustainable intensification for food security: Differentiated agronomies in the System of Rice Intensification in India"
- P1.45 Sen & Shambu Prasad "Adapting agronomic management practices for enhancing rice yields: The spread of SRI practices in mountain farms of Uttarakhand, India"
- P4.30 Berkhout "When do farmers innovate? How environmental diversity, social institutions and mode of extension shape processes of technology diffusion"



Knowledge, Technology and Innovation Group, Wageningen University Hollandseweg 1, 6706 KN Wageningen, The Netherlands Contact: dominic.glover@wur.nl, T + 31 (0)317 48 40 18 www.wageningenur.nl/en/Expertise-Services/Chair-groups/Social-Sciences/KnowledgeTechnology-and-Innovation-Group.htm





