Performance evaluation of rice varieties grown under puddled transplanted and System of Rice Intensification(SRI)

S.S.Parihar, B.C.Barah, Ravinder Kaur, Radha Prasanna, Niveta Jain, Manoj Khanna, Pankaj, Dinesh Kumar, Subhash Chandra and Bishan Dev

> Water Technology Centre Indian Agricultural Research Institute New Delhi-110012

#### **Treatments Detail:**

#### (A) Planting method:

- Puddled transplanted-P1
- Puddlled SRI-P2
- (B) Varieties:
- Pusa44
- Pusa834
- Pusa1401
- Pusa1509
- **PRH10**

Design : Split-plot Replication: 3





#### **Essential steps:**

- Seed rate 6 kg/ha
- Sowing of healthy & treated seed on raised bed nursery
- Use of organic manure
- Transplant single young (12-14 days) seedling per hill
- Spacing in square fashion (25 x 25cm) giving 16 hills/m2 plant
- Inter culture operation through conoweeder
- Thin layer of submergence along with wetting and drying

#### **SEED TREATMENT**

i) Selecting quality seed using Brine method
ii) seed Inoculation with *Pseudomonus florescence (bio inoculant)*





#### Selection seed: Brine method



#### Nursery bed preparation and Sowing





## low measurement using Starflow meter

Wate

#### Experimental Layout Design (Split-plot)

					Agror	nomy	DRS e	<mark>xpt side</mark>	2				
		<b>S4</b>	<b>S2</b>	<b>S1</b>	<b>S</b> 3	<b>S</b> 5	<b>S4</b>	<b>S1</b>	S5	<b>S2</b>	<b>S3</b>	Area lefto	
		<b>S1</b>	<b>S</b> 5	<b>S3</b>	<b>S4</b>	<b>S2</b>	<b>S5</b>	<b>S</b> 3	<b>S2</b>	<b>S4</b>	<b>S1</b>	ut	
			Ext. 3 (Drip irrigation)										
Env	Drainage	Co	General area			51	<b>S3</b>	<u>S2</u>			S5	<b>S4</b>	
rironment Expt. side		Gei				2	<b>C5</b>	C4	l –		C1	C3	Drai
			Drainage Channel										
		C1	S4	•	<b>S1</b>	C	4	<b>C1</b>	C	5	С3	C2	e Cha
		C4	S1		<b>C1</b>	S	3	<b>S2</b>	S	1	<b>S4</b>	<b>S5</b>	nne
		C1	S1		C4	S!	5	<b>S4</b>	S	3	<b>S2</b>	<b>S1</b>	Comparison of SRI with conventional experiment i 2013 Performance braudion of Milpado vidue grammader with terminations and Sectors of Elice
		C4	S4		<b>S4</b>	C	1	C2	С	3	C4	C5	Market Value         Participation of protocol of the constraint of th
		Exp 1				Expt 2						birs 6, rusa 1509 5, Picto 10 5, Picto 10	
					F	Road s	ide						A CARLEN TO A CONTRACTOR
Note Sub	e: "S scrip	" stan ot nun	ds fo nbers	r SRI, s stan	, "C" f d for	or co varie	nventi ty nun	onal ex nbers (1	perim Pusa	ent (p 44, 2.	uddle t Pusa 8	ransplan 34, 3. Pu	nting). usa 140

4. Pusa 1509, 5.PR-10). Age of planting is uniformly kept at 12 or 13 days old seedl











# CRO 0 0 wth processes



#### Sixty days old SRI plant





Roots of Pusa-1509 after 45 Days of Transplanting under SRI





#### Comparison of root biomass under SRI and conventional puddled rice

# Tillering behaviour after 30 days of transplanting under SRI and puddled transplanted



#### **Comparison of plant growth characteristics under SRI and CMP**



#### Comparing of growth pattern of SRI & CMP of **Pusa-1509**





#### 42DAT P-1509 (SRI)





#### Pusa-1509 on maturity in 78 days (Sept 27)





#### **COMPARING CROP GROWTH under SRI and CMP**





## SRI growth path Variety P-1509



## SRI Pusa-1509 on Maturity Sept 28









#### Performance of IARI rice varieties under puddled transplanted & SRI **Population of** *Hirschmanniella oryzae*



The population of rice root nematode, *H. oryzae* was high in conventional method compared to SRI. Variety Pusa1401 showed least infestation

## Microbiological indices , as influenced by rice varieties and mode of cultivation

Varieties	Dehydrog TPF/g/d)	enase activity (µg	Soil Chlo	rophyll* (mg/g)	ARA# (nmoles of ethylene released/g/h)		
	SRI (S)	Conventional (C)	SRI (S)	Conventional (C)	SRI (S)	Conventional (C)	
Pusa-44	7.34	8.07	0.85	0.79	1.83	0.64	
Pusa-834	6.66	9.45	0.46	0.50	1.64	0.67	
Pusa-1401	5.95	7.09	0.62	0.77	0.95	0.58	
Pusa-1509	5.77	5.46	0.76	0.93	0.94	1.06	
PR-10	5.11	3.94	0.51	0.60	0.75	0.54	
SEm	0.15	0.30	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.05	
CD	0.42	0.83	0.08	0.11	0.14	0.14	
SEm (S xC)		0.51		0.05		0.20	
CD (S xC)		1.41		0.14	0.55		

\*, index of photosynthetic biomass; # Index of biological nitrogen fixation; values in **bold** font are the two highest values; red colored font values are significantly higher in terms of mode of cultivation

(Transplanting done on 23rd July and sampling on 25<sup>th</sup> Sept.)



Dehydrogenase enzyme activity indicator of biological is an activity, which strongly increases under anaerobic conditions. This is illustrated in our experiment, wherein higher values recorded in are conventional flooded mode of rice cultivation

Nitrogenfixationisnegativelycorrelatedwithoxygenlevels(asenzymeinvolvedisoxygen-sensitive)andSRIsamplesshowedsignificantly higher values





Soil chlorophyll, which represent an index of photosynthetic biomass, which was significantly higher under conventional flooded mode of rice cultivation for all the varieties.

A significant role of varieties was observed in all the microbiological parameters which can be partially attributed to the variation in the type and amounts of root exudates produced by the different varieties and their influence on the microflora in rhizosphere

### **Global Warming potential of SRI**



• GWP of SRI method was 14% higher in 2013

• Methane emission increased by 10% because of anoxic conditions due to abundant rainfall during August and September (628mm) and available carbon from vermi compost

### **Global Warming potential of rice varieties**



- No significant difference in N2O emission
- Methane emission decreased by 6-20% compared to Pusa 44
- Maximum methane reduction was in Pusa 1509

# Grain Yield (t/ha) of rice varieties grown under different planting methods

Planting	Varieties							
	Pusa	Pusa	Pusa	Pusa	PRH	Mean		
	44	834	1401	1509	10			
Puddled -SRI	7.48	6.43	6.48	6.21	7.50	6.82		
Puddlled-								
transpl.	6.81	6.01	6.06	5.87	6.90	6.33		
Mean	7.14	6.22	6.27	6.04	7.20	-		
Increase in yield under SRI	0.67	0.42	0.42	0.34	0.60	-		
CD (p=0.05)	Planting-0.23; Variety- 0.25							





- Amongst varieties, Pusa44 and PR10 gave significantly higher yield than other varieties .
- Yield under SRI was higher than traditional puddled transplanted.

# Water use (cm/ha) / field water use efficiency (kg/ha-cm) of rice varieties under different planting methods

Variety	Planting r	% water saving over	
	Puddled Trans.	Puddled SRI	transplanting
Pusa44	<b>177.9/</b> 38.28	133.8/55.90	25.0
Pusa834	170.6/35.23	<b>124.8/</b> 51.52	27.0
Pusa1401	172.8/35.07	<b>126.7/</b> 51.14	27.0
Pusa1509	170.3/34.47	1 <b>23.5/</b> 50.28	29.0
PRH10	175.6/39.29	130.3/57.56	26.0

• SRI system resulted in higher FWUE irrespective of the varieties grown than puddled transplated.

• There was also a significant saving of water under SRI





## **Gross revenue and return**

Gross reve	nue Rs./ha	Return	% Diff.	
CMP	SRI	CMP	SRI	over SRI
98521	117316	60856	85160	40%
85934	100481	48269	68325	42%
87553	100976	49888	68820	37%
83372	96026	45707	63869	39%
96710	116102	59045	83946	42%

Output price: Rs.1250/q

## Visitors gallery









#### SRI Experiment @ IARI 2013: **Visitors Interaction solicited**



